

Assum-Dahleen, Laura

From: Assum-Dahleen, Laura
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 4:51 PM
To: 'Jeff'; 'Amy Blagriff'; 'aspencer@hawaii.edu'; 'Kiersten Faulkner'; 'katie@historichawaii.org'; 'Kawika McKeague'; 'chazinhawaii@aol.com'; 'Sherry Campagna'; 'frank_hays@nps.gov'; 'Elaine_Jackson-Retondo@nps.gov'; 'Melia_Lane-Kamahele@nps.gov'; 'Hinaleimoana Falemei'; 'Kehau Abad'; 'pua.aiu@hawaii.gov'; 'Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov'; 'Susan.Y.Tasaki@hawaii.gov'; 'Blythe Semmer'; 'theodore.matley@fta.dot.gov'; 'james.barr@fta.dot.gov'; 'deepak@hcdaweb.org'; 'keolal@oha.org'; 'malamapono@aol.com'; 'Brian_Turner@nthp.org'; 'Elizabeth_Merritt@nthp.org'; 'john.muraoka@navy.mil'; 'pamela.takara@navy.mil'; 'tware@honolulu.gov'; 'ksokugawa@honolulu.gov'; 'mmcdermott@culturalsurveys.com'; 'hhammatt@culturalsurveys.com'
Cc: Spurgeon, Lawrence; 'Faith Miyamoto (Honolulu DTS)'; Hogan, Steven; Zaref, Amy; Roberts, Stephanie L; 'N Dahl'; 'rtam1@honolulu.gov'; 'kpatterson@honolulu.gov'; 'Judy Aranda'; 'Susan Robbins'
Subject: Section 106 Consulting Parties PA Meeting

Aloha 106 consulting parties,

Our next meeting is tomorrow, September 11, 2009, 8:30 to 11:30 Honolulu time, We'll be meeting at the same location and phone number that we used this week. 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2400.

Attached are the handout for tomorrow's meeting as follows:

Agenda
Draft PA City & County Ordinance 09-4

Phone 1-888-742-8686
ID: 3784294

Mahalo for your participation and cooperation!

10/27/2009

AR00061060

**Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project
Programmatic Agreement Between the Federal Transit Administration,
Hawaii State Historic Preservation Office, and Advisory Council on
Historic Preservation**

**Section 106 Consulting Parties Meeting
PB Americas Office, 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2400
Friday, September 11 2009
8:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.**

Agenda

- A. Welcome and Introductions
- B. Purpose and Approach
- C. Summary of Progress to Date
- D. Conclusion of Discussion of Outstanding Elements and Issues
- E. Proposal Development and Exchange
- F. Steps to Conclude Consultation and Finalize PA
- G. Wrap-up and Aloha

**Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project
Programmatic Agreement Between the Federal Transit Administration,
Hawaii State Historic Preservation Office, and Advisory Council on
Historic Preservation**

**Section 106 Consulting Parties Meeting
PB Americas Office, 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2400
Friday, September 11, 2009
8:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.**

Meeting Notes

- A. Welcome and Introductions [Leland Chang]
- B. Purpose and Approach [Leland Chang]
We are looking forward to a collaborative problem solving process to address remaining issues and work for resolution.
- C. Summary of Progress to Date
The development of the Programmatic Agreement has been ongoing. These last five meetings since July 28, 2009 have been focused on the principles and needs, consideration for all impacts and resolution of outstanding issues.
- D. Conclusion of Discussion of Outstanding Elements and Issues
Please refer to separate documentation Outstanding PA Elements and Issues (continued).
- E. Settlement Proposals
Potential Mitigation Alternatives are part of the PA development process. Project Team distributed the Stipulations Crosswalk for review.
- F. Steps to Conclude Consultation and Finalize PA
ACHP recommended that we identify those outstanding elements that are agreed upon. PA describes ongoing consultation which should ensure ongoing compliance.
- G. Wrap-up and Aloha
We would like to look toward resolution and completion at our next meeting (September 21, 2009).

**Section 106 Consulting Parties Meeting
PB Americas Office, 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2400
Friday, September 11, 2009
8:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.**

Outstanding PA Elements and Issues (continued)

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Response</u>
City's Project Timeline	Need time for adequate mitigation. Need time to evaluate alternatives to minimize impacts.
Preservation Grant Program	Funds available to property owners to assist with further preservation of historic properties near or within the project corridor. Use non-profit as a vehicle. NTHP as a resource. They will send examples to FTA for review. Federal funds / GET funds – Conditions need to be met (e.g. National Register). Project contingency fund in budget – How is the adequacy of the fund determined? In areas on high density for burials the costs would be known prior to construction and built into the budget (i.e. would not be contingency funds). OIBC to be involved with the process along the way.
Balance between documentation and other Mitigation measures.	PA to allow for site-specific mitigation and Provide for effects that have not been identified or are otherwise unknown.
What are CUMULATIVE EFFECTS?	Overlap Project planning with TOD planning (e.g. historian involved with TOD). Preservation Fund could help here. Consideration of proposed extensions foreseeable? FTA determines the undertaking. NEPA and Section 106 process starts on the extensions as soon as they become an action.
Burial plans / OIBC Mandate	Avoid undue pressure to move remains.

Studies

**Complete prior to final design.
Clear TCPs (Traditional Cultural Property)
that have already been identified. Evaluate
through consultation and assessment.
Complete before construction.**

September 15, 2009

MEMORANDUM

TO: Section 106 Consultation Process Participants
FROM: Leland Chang
SUBJECT: Easel Notes From the September 11th Session

Aloha. I am forwarding the easel notes from our Friday, September 11th session. I look forward to continuing with our process at our meeting on the 21st.

Mahalo.

<u>Element or Issue</u>	<u>Thoughts/Suggestions</u>
City's Project Timeline	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Need time for adequate mitigation.-Need time to evaluate alternatives to minimize impacts.
Preservation Grant Program	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Funds available to property owners to assist with further preservation of historic properties near or within the project corridor.-Use non-profit as a vehicle.-NTHP as a resource. They will send examples to FTA for review.-Federal funds / GET funds – Conditions need to be met (e.g. National Register).-Project contingency fund in budget – How is the adequacy of the fund determined?-In areas of high density for burials, the costs would be known prior to construction and built into the budget (i.e., would not be contingency funds).-OIBC to be involved with the process all along the way.

Balance between documentation and other Mitigation measures.	-PA to allow for site-specific mitigation and provide for effects that have not been identified or are otherwise unknown.
What are “cumulative effects”?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Overlap project planning with TOD planning (e.g. historian involved with TOD). -Preservation fund could help here. -Consideration of proposed extensions foreseeable? -FTA determines the undertaking. -NEPA and Section 106 process starts on the extensions as soon as they become an action.
Burial plans / OIBC Mandate	-Avoid undue pressure to move remains.
Studies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Complete prior to final design. -Clear TCPs (Traditional Cultural Property) that have already been identified. -Evaluate through consultation and assessment. -Complete before construction.